EXHIBIT 5

In The Matter Of:

METRO FUEL, LLC, v. CITY OF NEW YORK,

IRIS WEINSHAL June 18, 2008

RAYVID REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
25 West 45th Street - Suite 900
New York, NY 10036
PH: 212-267-3877 / FAX: 212-692-9171

WEINSHAL, IRIS - Vol. 1

Page 77 1 sure? 2 I can't really testify, but the 0 question is what you know. I believe that they put a Ά scroller up to test whether it would have an impact, on just what you said, traffic and traffic safety. How was that test done? Q 9 They picked a bus stop shelter Α and they put up a scroller. I believe that --10 11 again, I'm a little fuzzy about this, but I 12 believe that our traffic safety people were 13 called in, and I'm pretty sure Kerry went out to look at the scroller. I did not go. 14 15 think Phil went out to look at the scroller. 16 So, you may want to check with 17 both of them. 18 I understand there were certain 19 potential reasons why the City might not want 20 to allow scrollers, maybe they posed a traffic 21 safety issue, maybe they had a greater adverse 22 aesthetic impact. 23 My question is, leaving the 24 potential downsides aside, what were the 25 upsides of allowing scroller advertising?

Page 78 1 There was just one upside; Α 2 generating more revenue. Let me ask you briefly about pay telephones, which I understand are under the 5 auspices of your former agency DCAS and not DOT. No, they are under the auspices Α of DOITT. You have to get your things straight. 10 I was just trying to be 0 11 charitable in acknowledging that I know that 12 DOT did not run the pay phone franchise. 13 Right. Α 14 My understanding from other 15 people's testimony is that one of the more 16 significant goals of the street furniture 17 franchise was not just to make the furniture 18 look better than it did, but to coordinate all 19 the different kinds of furniture present in the 20 City from bus shelters to newsstands to pay 21 toilets et cetera. 22 To news racks, to trash cans, to 23 anything that was on the street, to coordinate 24 it. And my question is, why weren't 25 Q

Page 80 public pay telephones. Did you know, 1 Ms. Weinshall, that under DOITT's rules, PPTs 2 are not allowed to bear advertising signs in 3 residential districts? Α No. Let me ask you about what we generally call urban panels. Urban panels is the name commonly given to the advertising panels that the MTA has attached to these 10 railings for subway entrances. Do you know what I'm talking 11 12 about? Yes, it's like live TV. 13 Α 14 Some of them are. T']] 0 represent to you that there are approximately 15 900 urban panel faces throughout the City, 16 17 approximately eighty of which are high 18 definition LCD and the great majority are 19 simple static ads. 20 Α Yes. 21 You would agree with me, 22 wouldn't you, that DOT primarily has 23 enforcement jurisdiction over what goes on on 24 City sidewalks? 25 Α Yes.

Page 81 1 Did DOT, to your knowledge, ever explore whether these advertising panels that 3 the MTA had placed, rather ubiquitously throughout the City were subject to DOT's jurisdiction? I remember having conversations with my staff about this. In particular, I was 8 concerned about the one that had the TV type of advertising. 10 What concerned you about those? 0 11 Again, traffic safety, someone 12 was traveling and they were distracted by what 13 was on the screen, would that cause a traffic 14 accident or cause somebody to lose control of 15 the car. 16 I was told by the head of the 17 Deputy Commissioner for traffic that he didn't 18 see a problem with it, in terms of traffic 19 safety. He didn't think that would be 20 distracting enough for people, and at the time 21 we had other issues with the MTA, having to do 22 with various construction projects. 23 My Chief of Staff at the time 24 told me to leave it alone. It was early on in 25 my tenure with DOT, don't get into it with

Page 82 1 As time goes on, more and more issues come before you and this seems minor compared to all the other problems you have to deal with. So, I just sort of dropped it. I never did anything with it. What kind of construction issues were coming up with the MTA? They had a number of Α construction projects, which required them to 10 11 take portions of our roadway or in the example 12 of the 1 and 9 train, we had just reconstructed 13 the Staten Island Ferry Terminal and they were 14 digging up the entire area around the Ferry 15 terminal so that we couldn't complete the 16 project. 17 On Eastern Parkway and Utica 18 Avenue, they had to make it ADA accessible. 19 They had to put elevators in. They were taking 20 the entire lane on Eastern Parkway. They were involved in 21 22 construction in Brooklyn near BAM, that was going on and on, beyond the period of time that 23 24 we had given them their permit. So, we had a

number of issues with MTA. We weren't getting

25

```
Page 83
     along on bus rapid transit, we weren't getting
1
     along vis-a-vis creating express bus lanes.
                    So, in the scope of things I had
3
     to deal with them on, I wasn't going to take
     them on regarding this.
                    Street banners, you're familiar
     with the banner --
8
                     Program.
             Α
             0
                     Program.
                     These are the, "advertisements"
10
     may or may not be a fair word for --
11
                     Public events. They are
12
     supposed to be for public events. And then the
13
     company sponsoring the banner can then put
14
     their name, their image on the bottom of the
15
16
     banner.
                     Do you know when this program
17
18
     started?
                     I don't. I just know when I got
19
     to DOT it was there. And by the way, it's now
20
     moved over, to the market -- the Marketing
21
     Department now runs this program, not DOT.
22
                     Do you know when that happened?
23
              0
                     Soon after I left DOT it
24
              Α
25
     happened.
```

Rayvid Reporting Service (212) 267-3877